This document defines an XMPP protocol extension for flagging malicious stanzas.
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1 Introduction

RFC 3514 \(^1\), published just today (2003-04-01), defines a mechanism for specifying the "evil bit" in IPv4 in order to determine if a packet was sent with malicious intent. In Section 5 ("Related Work") of that RFC, reference is made to complementary mechanisms for other forms of evil such as IPv6 support and the application/evil MIME type. Because the **XMPP Standards Foundation (XSF)** \(^2\) desires to maintain compliance with protocols developed by core Internet standards bodies, the current document defines a complementary mechanism for XMPP support of evil.

2 Requirements and Approach

There are three basic XMPP stanza types that may be sent within XML streams:

- \(<message/>\) -- a "push" medium for sending information to other entities.
- \(<presence/>\) -- a "broadcast" medium for publishing information to entities that have subscribed to an entity's availability status.
- \(<iq/>\) -- a "request-response" medium for executing basic but structured transactions with other entities.

Any one of the foregoing data elements can be used with malicious intent. Therefore a generalized mechanism is needed. Because XML namespaces are used within XMPP to properly scope data, this document proposes a new namespace ('http://jabber.org/protocol/evil') to implement the desired functionality.

3 Use Cases

3.1 Evil Messages

If an evil entity sends an evil message, it MUST include an appropriately namespaced extension in the message stanza:

```xml
<message
  from='iago@shakespeare.lit/pda'
</message>
```


\(^2\)The XMPP Standards Foundation (XSF) is an independent, non-profit membership organization that develops open extensions to the IETF’s Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol (XMPP). For further information, see \(<https://xmpp.org/about/xmpp-standards-foundation>\).
4 Determining Support

Evil entities MUST advertise their support for this protocol in their responses to Service Discovery (XEP-0030) information ("disco#info") requests by returning a feature of

---

"http://jabber.org/protocol/evil":

Listing 4: A disco#info query

```xml
<iq from='emilia@shakespeare.lit/mobile'
     id='disco1'
     to='iago@shakespeare.lit/pda'
     type='get'>
   <query xmlns='http://jabber.org/protocol/disco#info'/>
</iq>
```

Listing 5: A disco#info response

```xml
<iq from='iago@shakespeare.lit/pda'
     id='disco1'
     to='emilia@shakespeare.lit/mobile'
     type='result'>
   <query xmlns='http://jabber.org/protocol/disco#info'>
     <feature var='http://jabber.org/protocol/evil'/>
   </query>
</iq>
```

In order for an application to determine whether an entity supports this protocol, where possible it SHOULD use the dynamic, presence-based profile of service discovery defined in Entity Capabilities (XEP-0115)\(^4\). However, if an application has not received entity capabilities information from an entity, it SHOULD use explicit service discovery instead.

5 Security Considerations

Because the 'http://jabber.org/protocol/evil' namespace flags an XML stanza as malicious, it is critically important that an entity appropriately process an XML stanza that contains the evil extension. Mission-critical applications SHOULD ignore any stanzas tagged with the evil extension. Evil servers MAY pass through evil stanzas unmodified. Really evil servers MAY silently delete the evil extension. Entities that are evil to the core SHOULD support channel-level evil as defined in RFC 3514, since this document defines per-stanza evil only.

6 IANA Considerations

This document requires no interaction with the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA)\(^5\).

---


\(^5\)The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) is the central coordinator for the assignment of unique parameter values for Internet protocols, such as port numbers and URI schemes. For further information, see <http://www.iana.org/>.
7 XMPP Registrar Considerations

The XMPP Registrar shall register the 'http://jabber.org/protocol/evil' namespace as a result of this document.

The XMPP Registrar maintains a list of reserved protocol namespaces as well as registries of parameters used in the context of XMPP extension protocols approved by the XMPP Standards Foundation. For further information, see <https://xmpp.org/registrar/>.