In order to keep all IM clients for a user engaged in a conversation, outbound messages are carbon-copied to all interested resources.
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1 Introduction

At the time of original writing of this XEP, many XMPP servers handle message stanzas sent to a user@host (or "bare") JID with no resource by delivering that message only to the resource with the highest priority for the target user. Some server implementations, however, have chosen to send these messages to all of the online resources for the target user. If the target user is online with multiple resources when the original message is sent, a conversation ensues on one of the user's devices; if the user subsequently switches devices, parts of the conversation may end up on the alternate device, causing the user to be confused, misled, or annoyed.

This XEP defines an approach for ensuring that all of my devices get both sides of all conversations in order to avoid user confusion. As a pleasant side-effect, information about the current state of a conversation is shared between all of a user's clients that implement this protocol.

2 Requirements

- Large changes SHOULD NOT be required to existing servers
- Clients that do not implement the new protocol MUST be able participate in conversations
- Clients that do not implement the new protocol MUST NOT receive a large number of new partial conversations
- Clients that do not implement the new protocol MUST NOT receive protocol they do not expect
- All clients that turn on the new protocol MUST be able to see all inbound instant messaging messages.
- All clients that turn on the new protocol MUST be able to see all outbound instant messaging messages from all of the resources of the user, regardless of whether the clients for the other resources have implemented the new protocol.

3 Discovering Support

An entity advertises support for this protocol by including the "urn:xmpp:carbons:2" feature in its service discovery information features as specified in Service Discovery (XEP-0030) or section 6.3 of Entity Capabilities (XEP-0115).

---

4 Enabling Carbons

When a client wants to participate in the Carbons protocol, it enables the protocol by sending an IQ-set containing a child element `<enable/>` qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:carbons:2":

Listing 3: Client enables Carbons

```xml
<iq xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example/garden'
    id='enable1'
    type='set'>
    <enable xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'/>
</iq>
```

The server will respond with an IQ-result when Carbons are enabled:

Listing 4: Server acknowledges enabling Carbons

```xml
<iq xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='montague.example'
    id='enable1'
    to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
    type='result'>
    <query xmlns='http://jabber.org/protocol/disco#info'>
        ...
        <feature var='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'/>
        <feature var='urn:xmpp:carbons:rules:0'/>
        ...
    </query>
</iq>
```
If the server cannot enable Carbons for this client, it sends an IQ-error to the client, with an appropriate error condition (e.g., <forbidden/> if local policy forbids the client from enabling):

```
<iq xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example'
    id='enable1'
    to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
    type='error'>
  <error type='auth'>
    <forbidden xmlns='urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:xmpp-stanzas'/>
  </error>
</iq>
```

### 4.1 Recommended Error Conditions

There are various reasons why a server might not be able to enable Carbons for a client. The **RECOMMENDED** error conditions to return for some reasons are:

- <forbidden/> if the server’s policy forbids the client from enabling Carbons.
- <not-allowed/> if the request is from a client that is not hosted on this server.

See the section [Handling Multiple Enable/Disable Requests](#) for considerations when a client attempts to enable Carbons multiple times.

### 5 Disabling Carbons

Some clients might want to disable Carbons. To disable Carbons, the client sends an IQ-set containing a child element <disable/> qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:carbons:2":

```
<iq xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example/garden'
    id='disable1'
    type='set'>
  <disable xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'/>
</iq>
```

The server will respond with an IQ-result when Carbons are disabled:
5.1 Recommended Error Conditions

There are various reasons why a server might not be able to disable Carbons for a client. The RECOMMENDED error conditions to return for some reasons are:

- `<not-allowed/` if the request is from a client that is not hosted on this server.

See the section Handling Multiple Enable/Disable Requests for considerations when a client attempts to disable Carbons multiple times.

6 Messages Eligible for Carbons Delivery

The focus of this specification is instant messaging applications and so those (and only those) `<message/>` stanzas used for instant messaging SHOULD be delivered as Carbons. The following is the set of rules that a server implementation SHOULD use to determine which messages should be carbon-copied. Future specifications MAY add or override rules, though they are generally advised to use the `<private/>` element.
6.1 Recommended Rules

A `<message/>` is eligible for carbons delivery if it does not contain a `<private/>` child element and if at least one of the following is true:

- it is of type "chat".
- it is of type "normal" and contains a `<body>` element.
- it contains payload elements typically used in IM (Message Delivery Receipts (XEP-0184) ³, Chat State Notifications (XEP-0085) ⁴, Displayed Markers (XEP-0333) ⁵).
- it is of type "error" and it was sent in response to a `<message/>` that was eligible for carbons delivery.
- it matches the MUC-related rules outlined below.

To properly handle messages exchanged with a MUC (or similar service), the server must be able to identify MUC-related messages. This can be accomplished by tracking the clients’ presence in MUCs, or by checking for the `<x xmlns="http://jabber.org/protocol/muc#user">` element in messages. The following rules apply to MUC-related messages:

- A `<message/>` of type "groupchat" SHOULD NOT be carbon-copied.
- A `<message/>` containing a Direct MUC Invitations (XEP-0249) ⁶ SHOULD be carbon-copied.
- A `<message/>` containing a Mediated Invitation SHOULD be carbon-copied.
- A private `<message/>` from a local user to a MUC participant (sent to a full JID) SHOULD be carbon-copied⁷.
- A private `<message/>` from a MUC participant (received from a full JID) to a local user SHOULD NOT be carbon-copied (these messages are already replicated by the MUC service to all joined client instances).

As the above is an implementation detail of servers, clients MUST NOT rely on the server implementing a particular set of rules for which messages are eligible for Carbons delivery. Future specifications may have more precise requirements on which messages need to be

---

⁵The server SHOULD limit carbon-copying to the clients sharing a Multi-Session Nick in that MUC, and MAY inject the `<x/>` element into such carbon copies. Clients can not respond to carbon-copies of MUC-PMs related to a MUC they are not joined to. Therefore, they SHOULD either ignore such carbon copies, or provide a way for the user to join the MUC before answering.
eligible for carbons delivery; such future specifications will provide their own discovery and negotiation mechanisms, such that a client negotiating Carbons using the protocol defined in this specification will cause the server to consider messages eligible for Carbons delivery based on the requirements described herein.

**Note:** previous versions of this specification limited eligible messages to those of type "chat" - however, this was generally found to be inadequate due to the proliferation of type "normal" messages used in instant messaging.

### 6.2 Mandatory Support

A server implementation can choose to advertise full support of all the rules in §6.1 by including the "urn:xmpp:carbons:rules:0" feature in its service discovery information. If that feature is advertised, the rules above must be treated as REQUIRED and not merely as RECOMMENDED. Accordingly, if this feature is advertised, a client MAY rely on the server supporting this exact set of rules. While future versions of this specification (or other specifications) might use a different set of delivery rules, they would signify this by advertising a namespace other than "urn:xmpp:carbons:rules:0".

### 7 Receiving Messages

When the server receives a `<message/>` eligible for carbons delivery addressed to a client JID (either bare or full), it delivers the `<message/>` according to RFC 6121 § 8.5.3, and then delivers a forwarded copy to each Carbons-enabled resource for the matching bare JID recipient that did not receive it under the RFC 6121 delivery rules.

Each forwarded copy is wrapped using **Stanza Forwarding (XEP-0297)** with the following properties:

- The wrapping message SHOULD maintain the same 'type' attribute value;
- the 'from' attribute MUST be the Carbons-enabled user’s bare JID (e.g., "local-part@domainpart");
- and the 'to' attribute MUST be the full JID of the resource receiving the copy.
- The content of the wrapping message MUST contain a `<received/>` element qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:carbons:2", which itself contains a `<forwarded/>` element qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:forward:0" that contains the original `<message/>`.

---

The receiving server MUST NOT send a forwarded copy to the client(s) the original <message/> stanza was addressed to, as these recipients receive the original <message/> stanza.

Listing 9: Juliet sends Romeo a directed message

```
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='juliet@capulet.example/balcony'
    to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
    type='chat'>
    <body>What man art thou that, thus bescreen’d_in_night,_so_stumblest_on_my_counsel?</body>
</message>
```

Listing 10: Server sends carbon to Romeo’s other clients

```
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example'
    to='romeo@montague.example/home'
    type='chat'>
    <received xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'>
        <forwarded xmlns='urn:xmpp:forward:0'>
            <message xmlns='jabber:client'
                from='juliet@capulet.example/balcony'
                to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
                type='chat'>
                <body>What man art thou that, thus bescreen’d_in_night,_so_stumblest_on_my_counsel?</body>
            </message>
        </forwarded>
    </received>
</message>
```

A client MUST NOT accept Carbons that originate from a different JID than the own account (See Security Considerations).

Listing 11: Tybalt attempts Carbons impersonation attack on Romeo

```
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='tybalt@capulet.example/home'
    to='romeo@montague.example'
    type='chat'>
    <received xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'>
        <forwarded xmlns='urn:xmpp:forward:0'>
            <message xmlns='jabber:client'
                from='juliet@capulet.example/balcony'
                to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
                type='chat'>
```
8 Sending Messages

When a client sends a `<message/>` eligible for carbons delivery, its sending server delivers the `<message/>` according to RFC 6120 and RFC 6121, and delivers a forwarded copy to each Carbons-enabled resource for the matching bare JID sender, excluding the sending client. Note that this happens irrespective of whether the sending client has carbons enabled. Each forwarded copy is wrapped using Stanza Forwarding (XEP-0297) \(^9\) with the following properties:

- The wrapping message SHOULD maintain the same 'type' attribute value;
- the 'from' attribute MUST be the Carbons-enabled user's bare JID (e.g., "local-part@domainpart");
- and the 'to' attribute SHOULD be the full JID of the resource receiving the copy.
- The content of the wrapping message MUST contain a `<sent/>` element qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:carbons:2", which itself contains a `<forwarded/>` qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:forward:0" that contains the original `<message/>` stanza.

The sending server SHOULD NOT send a forwarded copy to the sending full JID if it is a Carbons-enabled resource.

Listing 12: Romeo responds to Juliet

```xml
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example/home'
    to='juliet@capulet.example/balcony'
    type='chat'>
    <body>Neither, fair saint, if either thee dislike.</body>
    <thread>0e3141cd80094871a68e6fe6b1ec56fa</thread>
</message>
```

Listing 13: Romeo's other Carbons-enabled clients receive a copy

```xml
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example'
    to='romeo@montague.example/garden'
```

9 Avoiding Carbons for a single message

Some clients might want to avoid Carbons on a single message, while still keeping all of the other semantics of Carbon support. This might be useful for clients sending end-to-end encrypted messages.

- The sending client MAY exclude a `message/` from being forwarded to other Carbon-enabled resources, by adding a `<private/>` element qualified by the namespace "urn:xmpp:carbons:2" and a `<no-copy/>` hint as described in Message Processing Hints (XEP-0334) ¹⁰ as child elements of the `<message/>` stanza.

- The sending server MUST NOT deliver forwarded `<message/>`s to the other Carbon-enabled resources of the sender.

- The receiving server MUST NOT deliver forwarded `<message/>`s to the other Carbon-enabled resource of the recipient.

Interoperability note: earlier versions of this XEP required or recommended the removal of the `<private/>` element (albeit not of the `<no-copy/>` hint) by one of the involved servers, but this behavior was considered as a potential security issue as the sender could silently manipulate the delivery of messages, so that the requirement was lifted. However, clients MUST NOT assume that a message without the element was actually routed to all other resources of the account.

Note: Use of the private mechanism might lead to partial conversations on other devices. This is the intended effect. If the private `<message/>` stanza is addressed to a bare JID, the receiving server still delivers it according to RFC 6121. This might result in a copy being delivered to each resource for the recipient, which effectively negates the behavior of the `<private/>` element for recipients.

Listing 14: Romeo sends to Juliet, excluding Carbons

```xml
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example/home'
    to='juliet@capulet.example/home'
    type='chat'>
    <body>Neither, fair saint, if either thee dislike.</body>
    <thread>0e3141cd80894871a68e6fe6b1ec56fa</thread>
    <private xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'/>
    <no-copy xmlns='urn:xmpp:hints'/>
</message>
```

Listing 15: Romeo's server delivers original message, without creating Carbon copies

```xml
<message xmlns='jabber:client'
    from='romeo@montague.example/home'
    to='juliet@capulet.example/home'
    type='chat'>
    <body>Neither, fair saint, if either thee dislike.</body>
    <thread>0e3141cd80894871a68e6fe6b1ec56fa</thread>
    <private xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'/>
    <no-copy xmlns='urn:xmpp:hints'/>
</message>
```

10 Business Rules

10.1 Handling Multiple Enable/Disable Requests

Handling multiple enable/disable request must adhere to the following rules:

- If a client is permitted to enable Carbons during its login session, the server MUST allow the client to enable and disable the protocol multiple times within a session.

- The server SHOULDN'T treat multiple enable requests (without an intermediate disable request) as an error;

- the server SHOULD simply return an IQ-result (if the protocol is already enabled) or an IQ-error (if the client is not permitted to enable Carbons) for any subsequent requests after the first.

- Similarly, the server SHOULDN'T treat multiple disable requests (without an intermediate enable request) as an error;

- the server SHOULD return an IQ-result (if the protocols is already disabled) or an IQ-error (if the client’s request failed previously) for any subsequent requests after the first.
10.2 Interaction with Chat States

**Note:** Chat State Notifications (XEP-0085) recommends sending chat state notifications as chat type messages, which means that they will be subject to Carbon-copying. This is intentional. Additionally, there are other considerations for clients that implement Carbons and XEP-0085:

- Upon receiving an inbound or outbound `<gone/>` chat state (as a carbon copy) for a given conversation, the client **SHOULD** visually indicate the conversation is terminated.
- In order to prevent unwanted termination of conversations on other resources, clients **SHOULD NOT** send `<gone/>` chat states on logout, instead clients **SHOULD** count on the broadcast of unavailable presence to convey the change in attention.
- Upon receiving an outbound notification of any chat state other than `<gone/>`, the copied client **MAY** conclude that the sending client has taken responsibility for the conversation, and make appropriate user interface modifications. For example, notifications could be suppressed on devices receiving the Carbon copies.

10.3 Handling of Errors

The following rules prevent some of the half-failure modes that have been an issue in other protocols:

- When a server attempts to deliver a (locally generated) carbon copy, and that carbon copy bounces with an error for any reason, the server **MUST NOT** forward that error back to the original sender.
- The server **MUST NOT** rely on the `<sent/>` or `<received/>` elements in the bounce to determine that an error is from a carbon-copied message, because entities are not required to include the original XML in their error replies as per RFC 6120, §8.3.1.

10.4 Auto-responses

Clients that automatically respond to messages for any reason (e.g., when in the "dnd” presence show state) **MUST** take adequate care when enabling Carbons in order to prevent storms or loops. Forwarded outbound messages **MUST NOT** be auto-replied to under any circumstances. Forwarded inbound messages **MUST NOT** be auto-replied to unless the client has some way of ensuring no more than one auto-reply is sent from all of its user’s resources.

---

10.5 Mobile Considerations

Mobile clients are often connected to the server in parallel to another (desktop) client. Therefore, it is highly recommended for mobile clients to implement this protocol to receive all live traffic, and to generally follow the Mobile Compliance Suite recommendations.

11 Security Considerations

The security model assumed by this document is that all of the resources for a single user are in the same trust boundary.

- Any forwarded copies received by a Carbons-enabled client MUST be from that user’s bare JID;
- any copies that do not meet this requirement MUST be ignored.

Outbound chat messages that are encrypted end-to-end are not often useful to receive on other resources. As such, they should use the <private/> element specified above to avoid such copying, unless the encryption mechanism is able to accommodate this protocol.

Multiple XMPP Clients User Impersonation Vulnerability
Multiple Vulnerabilities found in Dino
Missing sender verification for Carbons and MAM in Monal before 4.9

12 IANA Considerations

This document requires no interaction with the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) 12.

13 XMPP Registrar Considerations

13.1 Protocol Namespaces

This specification defines the following XML namespace:

- urn:xmpp:carbons:2

Upon advancement of this specification from a status of Experimental to a status of Draft, the XMPP Registrar 13 shall add the foregoing namespace to the registry located

---

12 The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) is the central coordinator for the assignment of unique parameter values for Internet protocols, such as port numbers and URI schemes. For further information, see <http://www.iana.org/>.

13 The XMPP Registrar maintains a list of reserved protocol namespaces as well as registries of parameters used in the context of XMPP extension protocols approved by the XMPP Standards Foundation. For further information, see <https://xmpp.org/registrar/>.
at <https://xmpp.org/registrar/namespaces.html>, as described in Section 4 of XMPP Registrar Function (XEP-0053)\(^\text{14}\).

### 13.2 Protocol Versioning

If the protocol defined in this specification undergoes a revision that is not fully backwards-compatible with an older version, the XMPP Registrar shall increment the protocol version number found at the end of the XML namespaces defined herein, as described in Section 4 of XEP-0053.

### 14 XML Schema

```xml
<?xml version='1.0' encoding='UTF-8'?>
<xs:schema
   xmlns:xs='http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema'
   targetNamespace='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'
   xmlns='urn:xmpp:carbons:2'
   elementFormDefault='qualified'>

  <xs:element name='disable' type='empty'/>
  <xs:element name='enable' type='empty'/>
  <xs:element name='private' type='empty'/>
  <xs:element name='received' type='forward-container'/>
  <xs:element name='sent' type='forward-container'/>

  <xs:simpleType name='empty' abstract='true'>
    <xs:restriction base='xs:string'>
      <xs:enumeration value=''/>
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>

  <xs:complexType name='forward-container' abstract='true'>
    <xs:choice>
      <xs:element name='forwarded'
        namespace='urn:xmpp:forward:0'
        minOccurs='1'
        maxOccurs='1'/>
    </xs:choice>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```
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