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1 Introduction

Sometimes there might be a need for an application to sign a data form (Data Forms (XEP-0004)\(^1\)) using other credentials than provided by the XMPP account or connection. An example can be automatic account creation using In-Band Registration (XEP-0077)\(^2\). Many server operators disable the in-band registration feature since it makes it possible for malicious users or robots to freely create accounts on the server. One way to combat robots, has been through the use of CAPTCHA Forms (XEP-0158)\(^3\). But in some cases, like in the Internet of Things, it is not robots that are the problem, but malicious users.

This document describes a method whereby forms can be signed using other credentials. This can be used in an In-band registration form to sign the form with the credentials of a special account on the server with permissions to create new XMPP accounts, with perhaps a limit on number of accounts that can be created. This method can be used by manufacturers of devices for Internet of Things, so that devices can create accounts automatically on XMPP servers in an orderly fashion, and manufacturers are allowed to administer and control their automatically created accounts separately. It also provides a mechanism whereby server operators can monitor who is responsible for account creation and to what extent.

A fixed algorithm (OAuth 1.0 Protocol\(^4\)) has been chosen in favor of a method where the user can select an authentication method from a list of available methods, modelled in the likeness of SASL. The main reason is to avoid multiple callbacks during form signature. The idea is to make form signature possible without having to do any intermediate server callbacks, or having to change the original request returning the form. The method is still extensible, allowing possible future extensions. The form signing algorithm to use is defined by the FORM_TYPE parameter in the form being signed.

2 Signing a form

A form that needs to be signed by the client using external credentials, shows this by including a hidden FORM_TYPE field valued urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1. The sub-namespace :oauth1 identifies the algorithm to be used, in this case OAuth v1.0.

Listing 1: Form requiring signature

```xml
<x xmlns='jabber:x:data' type='form'>
  <title>Create Account</title>
  <field type='hidden' var='FORM_TYPE'>
    <value>urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1</value>
  </field>
  <field type='hidden' var='oauth_version'>
</x>
```

The form contains a set of invisible parameters that the underlying software signing the form and supporting this specification must understand. These are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oauth_version</td>
<td>Must be 1.0. Is not changed by the client performing the signing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oauth_signature_method</td>
<td>Specifies the signature method, or hash function, to use when signing the form. This can be changed by the client. Possible values are: HMAC-SHA1, RSA-SHA1 and PLAINTEXT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oauth_token</td>
<td>This is a token provided by the server to the client. This parameter might not be available if the server has provided the client with this token earlier during the session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oauth_token_secret</td>
<td>This is a temporary secret shared between the server and client, and is related to the token. This parameter might not be available if the server has provided the client with this token earlier during the session.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Before calculating the signature, the following functions need to be defined:

**Base64(x)** Converts the sequence of octets x into a Base-64 encoded string.


Unreserved characters are alphanumeric characters (a-z, A-Z, 0-9), hyphen (‘-‘), period (‘.’), underscore (‘_‘) and tilde (‘~‘).

**H(x)** Corresponds to the Hash-function to use, according to the parameter oauth_signature_method. It computes the hash value of the octets x, and returns a sequence of octets.

To calculate the signature of the form, follow the steps in the following subsections, in the same order.

### 2.1 Choose Hash function

You choose Hash function by setting the parameter **oauth_signature_method** to either HMAC-SHA1, RSA-SHA1 or PLAINTEXT.
2.2 Sort parameters

First, all form parameters to be signed, including hidden parameters and the OAUTH parameters except `oauth_token_secret` and `oauth_signature`, are sorted by variable name (`var`).

2.3 Calculating Parameter String (PStr)

Each (name, value) pair in the list of sorted parameters are first transformed into pairs of `Escape(name)=Escape(value)` segments, and then concatenated into one string, where each segment is delimited using an ampersand (`&`) character.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listing 2: Calculating Parameter String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PStr:=Escape(name_1)=&quot;=&quot;Escape(value_1)+&quot;=...+Escape(name_N)=&quot;=Escape(value_N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Calculating Signature Base String (BStr)

The Signature Base String (BStr) is then formed concatenating `Escape(type)` (the form type used when submitting the form), `Escape(to)` (the full destination address, including resource, if any) and `Escape(PStr)`, using ampersands (`&`) as delimiter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listing 3: Calculating Signature Base String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BStr:=Escape(type)=&quot;=Escape(to)=&quot;=Escape(PStr)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Generating Signature

Depending on which signature method is chosen, different Hash functions are used. If `HMAC-SHA1` is chosen, then the Hash function `HMAC(text, key)` according to `RFC 2104` is used as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Listing 4: HMAC-SHA1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H(x):=HMAC-SHA1(x,Escape(oauth_consumer_secret)+&quot;=&quot;Escape(oauth_token_secret)+&quot;=&quot;Escape(oauth_signature):=Escape(Base64(H(BStr))))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, the client signing the form must have the `oauth_consumer_secret` available to be able to sign the form correctly.

If `RSA-SHA1` is chosen, then the signature method `RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5(K, M)` according to `RFC 2104` is used as follows:

---

3 USE CASES

RFC 3447 is used as follows:

### Listing 5: RSA-SHA1

```
H(x):= RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5(oauth_consumer_secret,x)
oauth_signature:=Escape(Base64(H(BStr)))
```

In this example, the `oauth_consumer_secret` must be an RSA private key.

If **PLAINTEXT** is chosen, no Hash function is used. Instead, the signature is calculated as follows:

### Listing 6: RSA-SHA1

```
oauth_signature:=Escape(oauth_consumer_secret)+Escape(oauth_token_secret)
```

2.6 Sending Form

Once the signature has been calculated, the corresponding parameter `oauth_signature` has to be set in the form before sending it to the destination address.

2.7 Validating Form

When the recipient receives the signed form, it performs the same calculations as above, based on the parameters received, and knowledge of the shared secret which it can look up by using the parameter `oauth_consumer_key`.

3 Use Cases

3.1 Securing In-Band Registration of new accounts

As mentioned above, a major use case for signing forms, is in-band registration for creating new accounts on an XMPP Server, as defined in XEP-0077.

### Listing 7: Entity Requests Registration Fields from Host

```
<iq type='get' 
   from='juliet@capulet.com/balcony' 
   to='contests.shakespeare.lit' 
   id='reg3'>
   <query xmlns='jabber:iq:register'/>
</iq>
```

---

Listing 8: Host Returns Registration Form to Entity requiring signature

```
<iq type='result' from='contests.shakespeare.lit' to='juliet@capulet.com/balcony' id='reg3'>
<query xmlns='jabber:iq:register'>
<instructions>
Use the enclosed form to register. If your Jabber client does not support Data Forms, visit http://www.shakespeare.lit/contests.php
</instructions>
<x xmlns='jabber:x:data' type='form'>
<title>Contest Registration</title>
<instructions>
Please provide the following information to sign up for our special contests!
</instructions>
<field type='hidden' var='FORM_TYPE'>
<value>urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1</value>
</field>
<field type='text-single' label='Given_Name' var='first'>
<required/>
</field>
<field type='text-single' label='Family_Name' var='last'>
<required/>
</field>
<field type='text-single' label='Email_Address' var='email'>
<required/>
</field>
<field type='list-single' label='Gender' var='x-gender'>
<option label='Male'>M</option>
<option label='Female'>F</option>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_version'>
<value>1.0</value>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_signature_method'>
<value>HMAC-SHA1</value>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_token'>
<value>...</value>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_token_secret'>
<value>...</value>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_nonce'>
<value/>
</field>
```

<field type='hidden' var='oauth_timestamp'/>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_consumer_key'/>
</field>
<field type='hidden' var='oauth_signature'/>
</field>
</x>
</query>
</iq>

Listing 9: User Submits Signed Registration Form

<iq type='set'
    from='juliet@capulet.com/balcony'
    to='contests.shakespeare.lit'
    id='reg4'>
    <query xmlns='jabber:iq:register'>
      <x xmlns='jabber:x:data' type='submit'>
        <field type='hidden' var='FORM_TYPE'>
          <value>urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1</value>
        </field>
        <field type='text-single' label='Given_Name' var='first'>
          <value>Juliet</value>
        </field>
        <field type='text-single' label='Family_Name' var='last'>
          <value>Capulet</value>
        </field>
        <field type='text-single' label='Email_Address' var='email'>
          <value>juliet@capulet.com</value>
        </field>
        <field type='list-single' label='Gender' var='x-gender'>
          <value>F</value>
        </field>
        <field type='hidden' var='oauth_version'>
          <value>1.0</value>
        </field>
        <field type='hidden' var='oauth_signature_method'>
          <value>HMAC-SHA1</value>
        </field>
        <field type='hidden' var='oauth_token'>
          <value>...</value>
        </field>
        <field type='hidden' var='oauth_token_secret'>
          <value>...</value>
        </field>
        <field type='hidden' var='oauth_nonce'>
          <value>...</value>
        </field>
      </x>
    </query>
  </iq>
4 Determining Support

If an entity supports signing forms as specified herein, it MUST advertise that fact by returning a feature of "urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1" in response to Service Discovery (XEP-0030) information requests.

Listing 11: Service discovery information request

```xml
<iq type='get'
   from='example.org'
   to='device@example.org'
   id='disco1'>
  <query xmlns='http://jabber.org/protocol/disco#info'/>
</iq>
```

Listing 12: Service discovery information response

In case a form signature is not value, the server MUST respond with a **bad-request** error message, as follows:

Listing 10: Error message when form signature is invalid

```xml
<iq type='error'
   from='contests.shakespeare.lit'
   to='juliet@capulet.com/balcony'
   id='reg4'>
  <error code='400' type='modify'>
    <bad-request xmlns='urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:xmpp-stanzas'/>
  </error>
</iq>
```
In order for an application to determine whether an entity supports this protocol, where possible it SHOULD use the dynamic, presence-based profile of service discovery defined in Entity Capabilities (XEP-0115). However, if an application has not received entity capabilities information from an entity, it SHOULD use explicit service discovery instead.

5 Implementation Notes

5.1 Signature algorithms and namespaces

This document only specifies signatures using OAUTH v1.0. Each entity that supports form signatures using this method, reports this by including the namespace urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1 in its features. The specification is extensible, in that it can allow for future signature methods to be used, by defining new namespaces replacing oauth1 by another string corresponding to the desired method, but leaving the base part of the namespace urn:xmpp:xdata:signature: intact.

6 Security Considerations

6.1 PLAINTEXT

The PLAINTEXT signature method should only be used if SSL/TLS is used by both the entity signing the form as well as the creator of the form. If the creator of the form is a server, this later part can be ignored. If unsure, PLAINTEXT should only be used in development & debugging cycles of an application, and not in production environments.

6.2 Session parameters

If the server provides information to be used in signing a form, it must also verify that the client only changes values it is allowed to change. An alternative is to not use the values provided by the client for the corresponding server-side parameters when calculating the

---

signature on the server side. This makes sure a client cannot willfully alter server-side parameters when it returns the signed form.

7 IANA Considerations

This document requires no interaction with the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA)\(^9\).

8 XMPP Registrar Considerations

The XMPP Registrar\(^{10}\) includes the following information in its registries.

8.1 Field Standardization

Field Standardization for Data Forms (XEP-0068)\(^{11}\) defines a process for standardizing the fields used within Data Forms qualified by a particular namespace, and XEP-0128 describes how to use field standardization in the context of service discovery. This section registers fields for server information scoped by the “urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1” FORM_TYPE.

Listing 13: Registry submission

```xml
<form_type>
  <name>urn:xmpp:xdata:signature:oauth1</name>
  <doc>XEP-xxxx</doc>
  <desc>
    Forms that require signatures using OAuth v1.0 signature algorithm.
  </desc>
  <Field
    var='oauth_version'
    type='hidden'
    label='OAuth_version_Must_be_1.0.'/>
  <Field
    var='oauth_signature_method'
    type='hidden'
```

\(^9\)The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) is the central coordinator for the assignment of unique parameter values for Internet protocols, such as port numbers and URI schemes. For further information, see <http://www.iana.org/>.

\(^{10}\)The XMPP Registrar maintains a list of reserved protocol namespaces as well as registries of parameters used in the context of XMPP extension protocols approved by the XMPP Standards Foundation. For further information, see <https://xmpp.org/registrar/>.
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